

Amendment and Response

Applicant: Jim R. Nielsen et al.

Serial No.: 10/827,056

Filed: April 19, 2004

Docket No.: 200901605-1

Title: INTELLIGENT URL REDIRECTOR

REMARKS

The following remarks are made in response to the Office Action mailed April 20, 2009. Claims 34-51 have been previously cancelled. Claims 1-33 were rejected. With this Response, claims 1, 5, 9, 13, 18, 23, 28, 30, and 32 have been amended, and claims 52-54 have been added. Claims 1-33 and 52-54 remain pending in the application and are presented for reconsideration and allowance.

Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 101

The Examiner rejected claims 5-27 and 30-33 under 35 U.S.C. § 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

The Specification was amended in the response filed February 4, 2008 to remove “transmission-type media such as digital and analog communications links” from the definition of computer readable media. In addition, claims 5, 18, and 30 have been amended to recite “a computer program product in a computer readable recordable-type media.” Therefore, claim 5-8, 18-22, 30, and 31 are directed to statutory subject matter because they recite recordable-type media and do not include transmission-type media. Claims 9, 13, 23, and 32 have been amended to be directed to statutory subject matter. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that the above rejection of claims 5-27 and 30-33 under 35 U.S.C. § 101 should be withdrawn. Allowance of claims 5-27 and 30-33 is respectfully requested.

Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 102

The Examiner rejected claims 1-15, 17-20, 22-25, and 27-33 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Reznick, U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0014539 (“Reznick”).

Applicants submit that Reznick fails to teach or suggest the limitations recited by amended independent claims 1, 5, 9, 13, 18, 23, 28, 30, and 32 including **executing a redirect application based on a servlet architecture**.

Reznick disclose a redirection server 106 with an XID processing engine 108. (Para. [0027]; and Fig. 1). Reznick, however, fails to disclose that XID processing engine 108 is based on *a servlet architecture*.

Amendment and Response

Applicant: Jim R. Nielsen et al.

Serial No.: 10/827,056

Filed: April 19, 2004

Docket No.: 200901605-1

Title: INTELLIGENT URL REDIRECTOR

In view of the above, Applicants submit that the above rejection of independent claims 1, 5, 9, 13, 18, 23, 28, 30, and 32 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) should be withdrawn. Dependent claims 2-4, 6-8, 10-12, 14, 15, 17, 19, 20, 22, 24, 25, 27, 29, 31, and 33 further define patentably distinct independent claim 1, 5, 9, 13, 18, 23, 28, 30, or 32. Accordingly, Applicants believe that these dependent claims are also allowable over the cited references. Allowance of claims 1-15, 17-20, 22-25, and 27-33 is respectfully requested.

Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 103

The Examiner rejected claims 16, 21, and 26 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reznick in view of Official Notice.

Dependent claims 16, 21, and 26 further define patentably distinct independent claim 13, 18, or 23. Accordingly, Applicants believe that these dependent claims are also allowable over the cited reference. Allowance of claims 16, 21, and 26 is respectfully requested.

Added Claims

Claims 52-54 have been added. No new matter has been added. Applicants believe that added claims 52-54 are allowable over the cited references. Allowance of claims 52-54 is respectfully requested.

Amendment and Response

Applicant: Jim R. Nielsen et al.

Serial No.: 10/827,056

Filed: April 19, 2004

Docket No.: 200901605-1

Title: INTELLIGENT URL REDIRECTOR

CONCLUSION

In view of the above, Applicants respectfully submit that pending claims 1-33 and 52-54 are in form for allowance and are not taught or suggested by the cited references. Therefore, reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections and allowance of claims 1-33 and 52-54 is respectfully requested.

No fees are required under 37 C.F.R. 1.16(h)(i). However, if such fees are required, the Patent Office is hereby authorized to charge Deposit Account No. 08-2025.

The Examiner is invited to contact the Applicants' representative at the below-listed telephone numbers to facilitate prosecution of this application.

Any inquiry regarding this Amendment and Response should be directed to Patrick G. Billig at Telephone No. (612) 573-2003, Facsimile No. (612) 573-2005.

Respectfully submitted,

Jim R. Nielsen et al.,

By their attorneys,

DICKE, BILLIG & CZAJA, PLLC
Fifth Street Towers, Suite 2250
100 South Fifth Street
Minneapolis, MN 55402
Telephone: (612) 573-2003
Facsimile: (612) 573-2005

Date: April 26, 2010

PGB:cmj:mlm

/Patrick G. Billig/

Patrick G. Billig
Reg. No. 38,080